UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/022,957 | 12/18/2001 | Wayne M. Doran | 9852.00 | 7152 |
| 26889 MICHAEL CH | 7590 08/20/2007 AN | EXAMINER | | |
| NCR CORPORATION | | | WINTER, JOHN M | |
| 1700 SOUTH PATTERSON BLVD DAYTON, OH 45479-0001 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3621 | , |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATÉ . | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/20/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| 10/022,957 | DORAN, WAYNE M. |
| Examiner | Art Unit |
| John M. Winter | 3621 |

| | John M. Winter | 3621 | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the d | correspondence address | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 08 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | ALLOWANCE. | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo | idavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejection. | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further con They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) | nsideration and/or search (see NO w); | TE below); | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally rej | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. | | empliant Amendment (PTOL-324). | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al | | timely filed amondment concelling the | | | |
| non-allowable claim(s). | iowabie ii submitted in a separate, | timely liled amendment canceling the | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 48-62. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | ☑ will not be entered, or b) □ wi vided below or appended. | II be entered and an explanation of | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida | otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered vit or other evidence is necessary and | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome all rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fails to provide a | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. | | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | t does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowance because: | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | |
| | ANDREW J. FISCHER SUPERVISORY PATENT FXAMIN | • | | | |

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3800

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended claims are have a broader scope and would require further searching.